

REMARKS

I. Status of Application

Claims 1, 2, 4, 9-11, 17 and 27-38 are all the claims currently pending in the present Application. By this Amendment Applicant hereby amends claim 9 for purposes of clarity and precision of language. By this Amendment Applicant also adds new claim 38. Ample support for the newly added claim can be found throughout the specification.

Statement of Substance of the Interview

Applicant's representative thanks the Examiner, and his Supervisor, for the courteous telephonic Interview of April 16, 2009. An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) has not been provided to the Applicant's representative after the Interview. The Statement of Substance of the Interview is as follows:

During the Interview, claim 9 was discussed. Applicants representative requested clarification regarding the rejection of claim 9. The Examiners indicated that claim 9 was being interpreted broadly and as such could be anticipated by Berger. Applicants respectfully disagreed.

II. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner has rejected claims 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Berger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,414,693). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

While Applicants disagree with the Examiner's rejection, Applicants have amended this claim so as to expedite the prosecution of this Application. Claim 9 is not being amended for reasons of patentability.

With respect to claim 9, Berger does not teach, or even suggest, at least "an information entry selecting means to enter design information without downloading public design data ...

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) WITH
STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW
U.S. Application No.: 09/781,253

Attorney Docket No.: Q63086

wherein design information entered by the user is correction data.” Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claim 9 be withdrawn.

III. Allowed Claims

The Examiner’s Advisory Action of March 19, 2009 indicates that claims 1, 2, 4, 10, 11, 17 and 27-37 are allowed.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

This Amendment is being filed via the USPTO Electronic Filing System (EFS). Applicant herewith petitions the Director of the USPTO to extend the time for reply to the above-identified Office Action for an appropriate length of time if necessary. Any fee due under 37 U.S.C. § 1.17(a) is being paid via the USPTO Electronic Filing System (EFS). The USPTO is also directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Artem N. Sokolov
Registration No. 61,325

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 27, 2009